

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/074,990	02/13/2002	Michael James Scott	5173-06	6875
50811 75	7590 02/16/2005		EXAMINER	
O'SHEA, GETZ & KOSAKOWSKI, P.C.			ALEXANDER, REGINALD	
1500 MAIN ST. SUITE 912			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SPRINGFIELD, MA 01115			1761	

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comment	10/074,990	SCOTT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dad will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed anys will be considered timely. any the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-38 and 41-43 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 41-43 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9,11-21,23 and 25-38 is/are reject 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 10,22 and 24 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 01 June 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination Including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination Including the correction The oath or declaration Including the Examination Including the Correction Including Inc	a) accepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/18/05, 8/23/04</u>. 	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/074,990

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9, 11-21 and 25-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McBride in view of WO 99/48331.

There is disclosed in McBride an electric beverage maker comprising a lower water boiling vessel 2, a funnel 11 extending into the lower vessel and having an upper compartment 8 for receiving a beverage and an upper vessel 4 mounted over the funnel to receive water which has passed up the funnel through the compartment and form which the beverage is dispensed, and an electric heater 24 mounted to the lower vessel.

WO 99/48331 discloses an opening 10 in the bottom of a plastic heating vessel 8 and an electric planar film heater 4 mounted to close the opening, wherein a control unit 6 secures the heater in place, the heater including a thermally sensitive control comprising a thermally sensitive actuator 216 operable to open a set of contacts 220, 221 and further comprises a latch 234 for latching a movable contact 220 in an open condition, and a manual latch release mechanism (on/off control knob)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute the heater and vessel arrangement of McBride with the heater and vessel arrangement taught in WO 99/48331, in order to provide an alternative heating arrangement.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Mollenhoff.

Mollenhoff discloses that it is old and well known in the art to provide an indicator light 38 to inform the user to the status of the brewing operation. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of McBride, as modified by WO 99/48331, with the indicator light taught in Mollenhoff, in order to alert the user that the brewing operation in complete.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10, 22 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 41-43 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Yung et al. and Klosinski et al. are cited for their disclosure of the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-4897. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,990

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla February 15, 2005 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761 Page 4